and the proofs were in various stages of examination. A supplementary chapter for the Nilgiris had been finally approved. Proofs of supplementary chapters for Madura and Bellary were under examination. The chapter for Cuddapah had been compiled and sent to the press while that for Trichinopoly was being edited.

Irrigation

Investigation of the Appapuram project in Guntur district.

*1150-A Q.—Mr. P. ANJANEYULU: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) how far the investigation has been made regarding the Appapuram project in the Guntur district;

(b) why it was suspended;

(c) whether the Government intend to take up the subject in the near or distant future;

(d) how many acres would be brought under wet cultivation if this project should be undertaken; and

(e) if the answer to clause (c) be in the negative, what are the obstacles in the way of the Government undertaking the project?

A.—The Appapuram Irrigation Project was abandoned in 1910 for the reasons given in paragraph 5 of the note[a] by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated 29th April 1910, a copy of which is laid on the table.

As a result of the installation of six feet shutters on the Kistna Anicut, a block measuring 20,000 acres included in the Appapuram Project has been proposed along with other areas to be brought under short crop irrigation, for the investigation of which a special division is proposed to be sanctioned with effect from 1st April 1929, subject to the vote of the Legislative Council.

Investigation of the Rajaypet reservoir.

*1150-B Q.—Mr. P. ANJANEYULU: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether Government have made any investigation into the Rajaypet reservoir project in Narasaraopet taluk, Guntur district; and

(b) if so, why it was given up?

A.—The Rajapet tank project (otherwise called the Boddulavagu tank project) was first investigated in 1903-04. Subsequently, on the recommendation of the Chief Engineer for Irrigation that the major portion of the ayacut to be served by the project was commandable by the Kistna Reservoir Project then under consideration, the further investigation of the project was suspended in 1911.

[a] Printed as Appendix I on pages 412-413 infra.

[30th January 1929

The consideration of the Kistna Reservoir Project has been postponed until the Cauvery-Mettur Project is completed or nearing completion. The question of taking up the Rajapet tank project independently of the Kistna Reservoir Project is under correspondence with the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Mr. K. Koti Reddi :—" May I know, Sir, what the connexion is between the Kistna Reservoir Project and the Cauvery-Mettur Project and why the former project should be postponed until the completion of the Cauvery-Mettur Project ? "

The hon. Sir Norman Marjoribanks :—" Money, Sir, and establishment."

Mr. K. Koti Reddi :—" May I know, Sir, if the credit of this Government is so low that, if the Government realise the necessity for taking up the Kistna Reservoir Project, they cannot raise sufficient money in the shape of a loan ?"

The hon. Sir Norman Marjoribanks :—" No, Sir ; there is also the question of establishment ; we do not have enough establishment for both the projects."

Mr. K. Koti Reddi :—" May I ask if it is impossible to get sufficient establishment ? "

The hon. Sir Norman Marjoribanks :—" It was thought so when that decision was reached."

Mr. K. Koti Reddi :—" What is the decision at present ? "

The hon. Sir Norman Marjoribanks :—" I cannot say, Sir ; they have not considered the question."

Mr. P. Anjaneyulu :—" May I know which of these two projects is the later one, Kistna Reservoir Project or Cauvery-Mettur Project ? "

The hon. Sir Norman Marjoribanks :—" I cannot say, Sir. Both these projects are clouded in the mist of history."

Diwan Bahadur R. N. Arogyaswami Mudaliyar :—" May I ask if the Government cannot find necessary money for the investigation of the Kistna Reservoir Project ? "

The hon. Sir Norman Marjoribanks :—" They are now engaged in the investigation of the Tungabhadra Project. They cannot add to that investigation that of the Kistna Reservoir Project."

Diwan Bahadur R. N. Arogyaswami Mudaliyar :—" May I know if the Kistna Reservoir Project is not considered part of the Tungabhadra Project and whether the two projects will be investigated separately ? "

The hon. Sir Norman Marjoribanks :—" We are at present investigating the Tungabhadra Project. When we get the result of the investigation we shall have to consider the further question of investigating the Kistna Reservoir Project or some other project, say the Sangameswaram Project."

Diwan Bahadur R. N. Arogyaswami Mudaliyar :—" May I ask if the Government would wait for the result of the Tungabhadra Project before launching on the Kistna Reservoir Project ? "

The hon. Sir NORMAN MARJORIBANKS:—"Until the result of the investigation is known."

Diwan Bahadur R. N. AROGYASWAMI MUDALIYAR:—"I wish to know if the Government have now come to the conclusion that they are going to wait and see the results of the Tungabhadra Project before they investigate the Kistna Reservoir Project, whether the Ceded Districts Irrigation Committee recommended both the schemes, and whether the Government are going to treat the two schemes separately?"

The hon. Sir NORMAN MARJORIBANKS:—"I said, Sir, that the Government intend to wait for the result of the investigation and not the result of the project."

Mr. A. KALESWARA RAO:—"May I know, Sir, which of the two schemes, the Kistna Reservoir Project or the Cauvery-Mettur Project fetches a greater percentage of income to the Government?"

The hon. Sir NORMAN MARJORIBANKS:—"On the calculations and estimates prepared at the time when these questions were considered the Cauvery-Mettur Project was considered to be more productive."

Report on the Kandleru scheme in Vinukonda taluk.

*1151 Q.—Mr. P. ANJANEYULU: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether any report has been received from the Collector of Guntur regarding the Kandleru scheme in Vinukonda taluk, Guntur district; and

(b) if so, what the Government intend to do in the matter?

A.—(a) Yes.

(b) The Government propose to sanction a special establishment to gauge the Kandleru river for seven months in the year for three years commencing from 1929 in order to ascertain the supply available.

Construction of shutters with greater height on the Godavari Anicut.

*1152 Q.—Mr. K. V. R. SWAMI: Will the hon the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether the report regarding the construction of shutters with greater height over the Godavari Anicut promised in the answer to the last supplementary question to question No. 1176 answered on 5th November 1927 was called for from the Chief Engineer;

(b) whether the same was received; and

(c) if not called for, whether the same will be called for now?

A.—A copy of the Chief Engineer's report[a] No. 15/27-C.E.P., dated 4th April 1928, is laid on the table.

---

[a] Printed as Appendix II on pages 413-414 infra.